## 900. The Reactions of Phenyl Radicals from the Photolysis of Acetophenone and the Strength of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ Bond in Benzene.

By F. J. Duncan and A. F. Trotman-Dickenson.
The photolysis of acetophenone has been shown to be a convenient source of phenyl radicals whose rates of reaction with acetophenone, methane, cyclopropane, and isobutane have been measured. $D(\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H})$ equals 102 kcal./mole.

The reactions of phenyl radicals in solution have been extensively investigated, particularly by Hey and his co-workers. No full kinetic study in the gas phase has been reported, probably because no convenient source of phenyl radicals was developed and because the necessary analyses were almost impossible without gas chromatography.

It was expected, by analogy with acetone, that the photolysis of acetophenone could be satisfactorily described by the following series of reactions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Ph} \cdot \mathrm{CO} \cdot \mathrm{Me}+\boldsymbol{h} \nu & \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ph}+\mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{Me}  \tag{1}\\
\mathrm{Ph}+\mathrm{Ph} \cdot \mathrm{CO} \cdot \mathrm{Me} & \longrightarrow \mathrm{PhH}+\mathrm{R} \\
2 \mathrm{Ph} & \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ph} \cdot \mathrm{Ph} \\
\mathrm{Me}+\mathrm{Ph} \cdot \mathrm{CO} \cdot \mathrm{Me} & \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{4}+\mathrm{R} \\
2 \mathrm{Me} & \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \\
\mathrm{Me}+\mathrm{Ph} & \longrightarrow \mathrm{PhMe}
\end{align*}
$$

The detection of benzene, toluene, biphenyl, methane, and ethane among the products was in agreement with this general scheme. When acetone is photolysed both methyl and acetyl radicals are first formed, as can be shown by the detection of products of acetyl at low temperatures. No signs that acetone yields acetyl can be found at temperatures as high as $250^{\circ}$; there is, therefore, no reason to write reaction (1) as a series of steps in this instance. Some evidence was found that the yield of products decreased at high pressures. The decrease was probably caused by the collisional deactivation of excited acetophenone molecules, but no precise actinometric work was done so that for the present purpose reaction ( 1 ) suffices. The nature of the radicals, $R$, is not known. Mixtures are presumably formed. It is only necessary to postulate that these radicals do not directly form any of the significant products.

Benzene and biphenyl could have been formed by reactions of the photolytically activated acetophenone that do not involve phenyl radicals as an intermediate. The results for the rate of formation of benzene and biphenyl when acetophenone (approx. $10^{-6}$ mole $\mathrm{cm} .^{-3}$ ) is photolysed at $330^{\circ}$ in the presence of nitric oxide show that the additive acts as if the products came solely from phenyl radicals. Accordingly the rate of formation of benzene can be written:

$$
R_{\mathrm{PhH}}=k_{2}[\mathrm{Ph}][\mathrm{Ph} \cdot \mathrm{CO} \cdot \mathrm{Me}]
$$

and that of biphenyl

$$
R_{\mathrm{Pb} \cdot \mathrm{~Pb}}=k_{3}[\mathrm{Ph}]^{2}
$$

Similar equations were derived to describe the formation of methane and ethane in the photolysis of acetone and are applicable also to the products of methyl radicals from acetophenone. Biphenyl is, however, also produced by the reaction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Ph}+\mathrm{Ph} \cdot \mathrm{CO} \cdot \mathrm{Me} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ph} \cdot \mathrm{Ph}+\mathrm{CO} \cdot \mathrm{Me} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from a steady-state treatment

$$
\frac{R_{\mathrm{Ph} \cdot \mathrm{Ph}}}{R_{\mathrm{PhH}}}=\frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}{ }^{2}} \cdot \frac{R_{\mathrm{PhH}}}{[\mathrm{Ph} \cdot \mathrm{CO} \cdot \mathrm{Me}]^{2}}+\frac{k_{7}}{k_{2}}
$$

A plot of ( $R_{\mathrm{Ph} \cdot \mathrm{Ph}} / R_{\mathrm{PhH}}$ ) against ( $R_{\mathrm{PhH}} /[\mathrm{Ph} \cdot \mathrm{CO} \cdot \mathrm{Me}]^{2}$ ) should be a straight line of slope $k_{3} / k_{2}{ }^{2}$ and intercept $k_{7} / k_{2}$. Plots of this type were first obtained by the addition of nitric oxide to acetophenone at $327^{\circ}$. The points lay roughly on a line but the scatter was large. Work was subsequently confined to variations of the acetophenone concentration. The results are given in Table 1 and plotted in Fig. 1. The values of $k_{2}$ and $k_{7}$ are:

| Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ) | 550 | 599 | 615 | 680 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{-8} k_{2}\left(\mathrm{~mole}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm} .^{3} \mathrm{sec} .^{-1}\right)$ | $15 \cdot 7$ | $29 \cdot 3$ | $23 \cdot 7$ | 44-4 |
| $10^{-8} k_{7}\left(\mathrm{~mole}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm} .{ }^{3} \mathrm{sec} .{ }^{-1}\right)$ | $0 \cdot 15$ | $0 \cdot 29$ | $0 \cdot 23$ | $0 \cdot 44$ |

From these figures, the values of the $A$ factors and activation energies listed in Table 3 have been calculated on the assumption that $\log k_{3}\left(\mathrm{~mole}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm} .{ }^{3} \mathrm{sec} .^{-1}\right)=14$ and that $E_{3}=0$. There is no evidence for this assumption; it is made to facilitate the presentation of the results and their comparison with those for other radicals.

Values for the rate constant for the attack of methyl radicals on acetophenone have been obtained from the rates of formation of methane and ethane found in the runs and the equation

$$
k_{4}=k_{5^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(R_{\mathrm{MeH}} / R_{\mathrm{Me} \cdot \mathrm{Me}}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}[\mathrm{Ph} \cdot \mathrm{CO} \cdot \mathrm{Me}]\right)
$$

$k_{5}$ has been taken as $10^{13.35}$ mole ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{~cm} .^{3} \mathrm{sec}^{-1} .^{1}$ The results are plotted in Fig. 2 from which

$$
\log k_{4}\left(\mathrm{~mole}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm} .{ }^{3} \mathrm{sec} .^{-1}\right)=10 \cdot 7-(7400 / 2 \cdot 3 \boldsymbol{R} T)
$$

${ }^{1}$ Shepp, J. Chem. Phys., 1956, 34, 939.

Table 1.
Products of the photolysis of acetophenone.

| Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} 10^{6}[\mathrm{~A}] \\ \left(\text { mole } \mathrm{cm} . .^{-3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { (sec.) } \end{aligned}$ | ( $10^{-12}$ mole c.c. ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{sec} .^{-1}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | $\log k_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 557 | $1 \cdot 14$ | 120 | 114 | 38.2 | 0.838 | 0.729 | $7 \cdot 89$ | $24 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 433$ |
| 552 | $1 \cdot 17$ | 120 | 120 | $40 \cdot 7$ | 0.875 | 0.745 | $7 \cdot 75$ | $25 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 293$ |
| 549 | $1 \cdot 17$ | 120 | 107 | $35 \cdot 6$ | $0 \cdot 667$ | $0 \cdot 604$ | $7 \cdot 29$ | $19 \cdot 4$ | $7 \cdot 319$ |
| 549 | $1 \cdot 18$ | 120 | $90 \cdot 9$ | 37-4 | 0.755 | - | $15 \cdot 0$ | $35 \cdot 8$ | $7 \cdot 497$ |
| 553 | $1 \cdot 39$ | 120 | 116 | $42 \cdot 7$ | 0.859 | 0.818 | $9 \cdot 37$ | $21 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 331$ |
| 549 | $1 \cdot 42$ | 120 | $96 \cdot 1$ | 38.5 | $0 \cdot 693$ | 0.585 | $13 \cdot 4$ | $22 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 469$ |
| 548 | 1.76 | 120 | 82.5 | $37 \cdot 8$ | $0 \cdot 516$ | $0 \cdot 641$ | 12.5 | $20 \cdot 8$ | $7 \cdot 362$ |
| 550 | 1.78 | 120 | - | $42 \cdot 5$ | $0 \cdot 663$ | $0 \cdot 886$ | - | - | - |
| 558 | $2 \cdot 17$ | 120 | - | $45 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 588$ | 0.990 | - | - | - |
| 550 | $2 \cdot 57$ | 120 | - | $37 \cdot 6$ | $0 \cdot 466$ | $0 \cdot 865$ | - | - |  |
| 595 | 0.774 | 30 | - | $69 \cdot 7$ | $1 \cdot 53$ | 1.21 |  |  |  |
| 597 | $0 \cdot 792$ | 60 | 145 | $64 \cdot 4$ | $1 \cdot 47$ | 1.56 | $15 \cdot 2$ | $33 \cdot 9$ | $7 \cdot 689$ |
| 592 | 0.965 | 60 |  | $64 \cdot 7$ | $1 \cdot 29$ | $0 \cdot 82$ | - | - | - |
| 599 | 1.09 | 60 | 192 | 68.8 | $1 \cdot 04$ | $1 \cdot 63$ | 23.9 | $34 \cdot 6$ | 7.741 |
| 597 | $1 \cdot 32$ | 60 | 173 | $77 \cdot 3$ | 1-22 | $1 \cdot 43$ | $23 \cdot 4$ | $50 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 567$ |
| 599 | $1 \cdot 41$ | 60 | 184 | 72 | 1.07 | 1.68 | $24 \cdot 8$ | $35 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 641$ |
| 597 | $1 \cdot 72$ | 60 | - | 72 | $1 \cdot 07$ | 1.71 | - | - | - |
| 602 | 2.01 | 60 | 189 | 93 | 1.07 | $1 \cdot 24$ | $30 \cdot 8$ | 26.7 | $7 \cdot 642$ |
| 614 | 1.06 | 60 | - | 82.9 | 1.93 | 1.49 | - | - | - |
| 614 | $1 \cdot 26$ | 60 | 199 | $95 \cdot 4$ | 1.93 | 1.77 | $35 \cdot 2$ | $60 \cdot 2$ | 7.724 |
| 614 | $1 \cdot 49$ | 60 | 198 | 105 | 1.89 | 2-18 | $36 \cdot 0$ | 35.0 | 7.783 |
| 616 | $1 \cdot 57$ | 60 | 195 | 97.9 | $1 \cdot 49$ | $2 \cdot 49$ | $43 \cdot 1$ | $79 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 658$ |
| 619 | $2 \cdot 31$ | 60 | - | 111 | $1 \cdot 33$ |  | - | - | - |
| 618 | $2 \cdot 31$ | 60 | - | 99 | $1 \cdot 28$ | $2 \cdot 39$ |  | - |  |
| 618 | $3 \cdot 07$ | 70 | 257 | 119 | $1 \cdot 45$ | $2 \cdot 68$ | 31-1 | 44•8 | $7 \cdot 665$ |
| 683 | 0.951 | 30 | - | 129 | $1 \cdot 70$ | $3 \cdot 13$ | - | - | - |
| 678 | 0.984 | 30 | - | 134 | $2 \cdot 52$ | 3•13 | - | - |  |
| 675 | 1.03 | 30 | 253 | 131 | $2 \cdot 17$ | $3 \cdot 35$ | $40 \cdot 6$ | $42 \cdot 7$ | 7.949 |
| 681 | 1.04 | 30 | 189 | 103 | $2 \cdot 04$ | $3 \cdot 35$ | $38 \cdot 3$ | $35 \cdot 5$ | 7.963 |
| 676 | 1.04 | 30 | 247 | 128 | $2 \cdot 10$ | $3 \cdot 07$ | 28.9 | 39•8 | 7-664 |
| 666 | 1.05 | 30 | 245 | 129 | $2 \cdot 10$ | $3 \cdot 50$ | $57 \cdot 3$ | $46 \cdot 7$ | 8.071 |
| 664 | $1 \cdot 13$ | 30 |  | 130 | $2 \cdot 08$ | $2 \cdot 71$ |  | - | - |
| 666 | $1 \cdot 14$ | 30 | 249 | 116 | 1.33 | $2 \cdot 56$ | 51.2 | $40 \cdot 2$ | 8.022 |
| 669 | $1 \cdot 35$ | 30 |  | 135 | $2 \cdot 00$ | $3 \cdot 50$ |  |  |  |
| 679 | 1.39 | 30 | 317 | 138 | 1.91 | 3.81 | $67 \cdot 7$ | $35 \cdot 6$ | 8.090 |
| 685 | 1.83 | 30 | 303 | 149 | 1.83 | $4 \cdot 60$ | $67 \cdot 4$ | $43 \cdot 6$ | 7.917 |
| 671 | 1.92 | 30 | 235 | 118 | 0.99 | $2 \cdot 77$ | $54 \cdot 6$ | 26.8 | 7.909 |
| 684 | 3•16 | 60 | 298 | 163 | $1 \cdot 02$ | 3:83 | $76 \cdot 8$ | $25 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 865$ |

[A] is the concentration of acetophenone.

Table 2.
The reaction of phenyl radicals with methane.

| Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ) | [A] | $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right]$ | Time | PhH | $\mathrm{Ph} \cdot \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{Ph} \cdot \mathrm{Me}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $10^{-6}$ mole cm. ${ }^{-3}$ ) |  | (sec.) | ( $10^{-12}$ mole c.c. ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{sec} .^{-1}$ ) |  |  | $\log k$ |
| 561 | $1 \cdot 16$ | $2 \cdot 39$ | 120 | $42 \cdot 7$ | 0.82 | - | $7 \cdot 622$ |
| 561 | $1 \cdot 17$ | $3 \cdot 60$ | 120 | $40 \cdot 3$ | 0.72 | $0 \cdot 86$ | $7 \cdot 623$ |
| 557 | $1 \cdot 15$ | $4 \cdot 19$ | 120 | $38 \cdot 6$ | 0.72 | 0.74 | 7.511 |
| 557 | $1 \cdot 17$ | 6.51 | 120 | $39 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 68$ | 0.96 | $7 \cdot 545$ |
| 600 | 1.08 | $2 \cdot 76$ | 60 | $73 \cdot 8$ | $1 \cdot 36$ | - | $7 \cdot 865$ |
| 599 | 1.09 | $3 \cdot 84$ | 60 | $75 \cdot 5$ | $1 \cdot 34$ | - | 7.907 |
| 596 | 1.09 | 5.78 | 60 | $72 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 20$ | 1.48 | 7.866 |
| 596 | $1 \cdot 10$ | $6 \cdot 46$ | 60 | 78 | 1.28 | 1.62 | 7.903 |
| 691 | 0.929 | $1 \cdot 41$ | 30 | 146 | $2 \cdot 06$ | 3-10 | 8.506 |
| 692 | 0.946 | 1.91 | 30 | 140 | 2.06 | 4-19 | 8.408 |
| 694 | 0.939 | $3 \cdot 09$ | 35 | 146 | 2.02 | $3 \cdot 77$ | 8.381 |
| 688 | 0.956 | $3 \cdot 31$ | 30 | 146 | $2 \cdot 10$ | 4-19 | 8.271 |
| 692 | 0.925 | $5 \cdot 04$ | 30 | 151 | 1.79 |  | $8 \cdot 467$ |
| 697 | 0.930 | 5•71 | 30 | 156 | 1.83 | $3 \cdot 04$ | 8.425 |

[A] is the concentration of acetophenone.

The Reaction of Phenyl Radicals with Hydrocarbons.-When methane is added to the system, benzene is also formed by the reaction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Ph}+\mathrm{MeH} \longrightarrow \mathrm{PhH}+\mathrm{Me} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rate of reaction of phenyl radicals with methane was calculated from the results in Table 2 after proper allowance had been made for the formation of benzene by abstraction of hydrogen from acetophenone. From rate constants plotted in Fig. 2, it is found that

$$
\log k_{8}\left(\mathrm{~mole}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm} . .^{3} \mathrm{sec} .^{-1}\right)=11 \cdot 9-(11100 / 2 \cdot 3 \boldsymbol{R} T)
$$



Fig. 1. The photolysis of acetophenone at $550^{\circ}$, $599^{\circ}, 615^{\circ}$, and $680^{\circ}$ к.


Fig. 2. The reaction of methyl radicals with acetophenone (A) and of phenyl radicals with methane (B), cyclopropane (C), and isobutane (D)

Similar experiments were carried out with cyclopropane and isobutane. It can be seen from Fig. 2 that the results were less reproducible largely because of analytical difficulties. The activation energies and $A$ factors are given in Table 3.

Table 3.
The reactions of phenyl and methyl radicals at $333^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{Ph}+\mathrm{RH}=\mathrm{PhH}+\mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{Me}+\mathrm{RH}=\mathrm{MeH}+\mathrm{R}$.

| RH | Phenyl |  |  | hyl |  |  |  | $\log \left(k_{\mathrm{Ph}} / k_{\mathrm{Me}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\log A$ | $E$ | $\log k$ | $\log A$ | $E$ | $\log k$ | Ref. |  |
| Methane | 11.9 | 11•1 | $7 \cdot 9$ | 11.8 | $14 \cdot 7$ | 6.5 | $a$ | $1 \cdot 4$ |
| Cyclopropane | 11.4 | $8 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 3$ | 11.0 | $10 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 2$ | $b$ | $1 \cdot 1$ |
| Isobutane ... | 11.8 | $6 \cdot 7$ | $9 \cdot 4$ | 11.0 | $7 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 3$ | $b$ | $1 \cdot 1$ |
| Acetophenone | 11.6 | $6 \cdot 2$ | $9 \cdot 4$ | $10 \cdot 7$ | $7 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | $c$ | $1 \cdot 3$ |

$a$, Dainton, Ivin, and Wilkinson, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1959, 55, 929; b, Trotman-Dickenson,
" Gas Kinetics," Butterworths, London, 1955; c, This work.
The strength of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond in benzene can be found from the activation energy of reaction (8) and of the reverse reaction together with the known value of $D(\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{H}) .^{2}$ The only determination ${ }^{3}$ of the activation energy of the reverse reaction ( $9 \cdot 2 \mathrm{kcal} . / \mathrm{mole}$ )

[^0]is not particularly reliable, but the activation energy for the attack of trifluoromethyl radicals has been twice determined ( 7.7 and $6.3 \mathrm{kcal} . / \mathrm{mole}$ ). ${ }^{4}$ The second determination appears to be a good one. The relation between the rate constants for the attack of methyl and of trifluoromethyl radicals on benzene is in line with that for attack on other compounds. ${ }^{5}$ The value of $9 \cdot 2 \mathrm{kcal}$./mole is, therefore, probably not in error by more than one or at most two kcal./mole. We therefore have
$$
D(\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H})=103.9-(11 \cdot 1-9 \cdot 2)=102.0 \mathrm{kcal} . / \mathrm{mole},
$$

This value is in good agreement with values derived from the pyrolysis of phenyl bromide $(101.4 \mathrm{kcal} . / \mathrm{mole})$. The present derivation is based on the assumption that a methyl radical reacts by direct hydrogen abstraction and not by preliminary addition as does trifluoromethyl.

Table 3 shows that the reactivities of phenyl radicals with different substrates are parallel to those of methyl radicals. The existence of the parallelism does not depend upon the assumption that has been made that phenyl radicals combine on every collision, but the numerical relation does. If the high rate of combination is accepted then phenyl radicals are slightly less reactive than trifluoromethyl radicals.
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